

### REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Reconsideration of this patent application is respectfully requested in view of the foregoing amendments, and the following remarks. Claims 1-8 are in the application. Claims 1 and 8 have been amended. No new matter has been added.


The Examiner objected to claim 8. Claim 8 has been amended to correct the typing error.

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-8 under 35 U.S.C. §112, first and second paragraphs, as not being sufficiently described in the specification and for being indefinite. The Examiner also rejected claims 1-8 under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, for not being enabled. Applicants have amended claim 1 to recite that the sequence is SEQ ID NO: 2, as described in the specification. Applicant submits that the claims as amended are fully supported and described in the specification such as to meet the requirements of 35 USC §112.

Accordingly, Applicants submit that the application is in condition for allowance. Early allowance of the claims is respectfully requested.

Applicants also submit herewith a Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement.

Respectfully submitted,  
Susanne LEONHARTSBERGER ET AL.




COLLARD & ROE, P.C.  
1077 Northern Boulevard  
Roslyn, New York 11576  
(516) 365-9802

Elizabeth C Richter, Reg. No. 35,103  
Attorney for Applicants

ECR:cmm

Enclosures: Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement with PTO Form 1449, one (1) references, and a check for \$180.00

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on September 26, 2007.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Amy Klein